

YOUR NAME
ADDRESS
CITY, STATE
PHONE NUMBER

THE (STATE) STATE (COURT NAME] COURT

FOR THE COUNTY OF (YOUR COUNTY)] Case no.

STATE of [your state]] _____

DEMAND FOR BILL OF PARTICULARS

Comes now the accused, by special appearance, pursuant to the limitations imposed upon plaintiff and this tribunal by [article and section of your state constitution], with authority and unalienable right to know the nature and cause to the instant accusations, in the above captioned statutory cause, to demand that plaintiff provide to the accused a Bill of Particulars to make more definite and certain the accusatory instrument of plaintiff in the following respects, to wit: the venue in which the process of plaintiff was issued and served and the jurisdiction of this tribunal invoked over the accused by the accusatory instrument and process of the plaintiff in the following particulars:

1. Does the Canon Law treatise on government placing a Substantial Burden on the practice of a religious belief which is sincerely held by all those of the Christian faith (religion of the Anointed ones) in the Second Coming of Jesus the Anointed One according to the revelatory Word, and the Order for the Honorable Catechumen attached conform to the

Meyers test in USA v. David Meyers, Tenth Circuit (D.C. No. 95-CR-58)? YES or NO?

2. Is the District Attorney's office able to detail the States compelling interest in barring religious usage of Cannabis (KNH BSM) in the Orthodox Christian sacrament, under the strict scrutiny test that the RFRA demands of such regulations? Yes or No?

3. Is the District Attorney's office able to detail the States compelling interest in barring the usage of Cannabis (KNH BSM) as a means of self defense against debilitating diseases such as Cancer, or any mutating cell disease, blindness from glaucoma, chemotherapy induced nausea (see exhibit E)? Yes or No?

4. What allegation(s) or fact(s) does plaintiff depend to establish the status of the accused within purview of the statute alleged by plaintiff to have been violated by the accused?

5. What allegation(s) or fact(s) does plaintiff depend upon to establish the accused is within the venue of the process purported to be properly served upon the accused?

6. What allegation(s) or fact(s) does plaintiff depend upon to establish the personal jurisdiction of the above captioned tribunal over accused and to establish that such personal jurisdiction was regularly and lawfully obtained?

7. Is (statute(s) your charged with), under authority of which plaintiff purports to bring statutory accusation

against the accused, enacted by the (proper title for your state legislators), under the authority of the Common-Law Jurisdiction, in a venue consistent therewith, as authorized by the Constitution for the State of [your state]? Yes or No.

8. Is (statute(s) your charged with], under authority of which plaintiff purports to bring statutory accusation against the accused, enacted by the (Proper title for your state legislators] under the authority of the Corporate Jurisdiction, in a venue consistent therewith, as authorized by the Constitution for the State of (your State]? Yes or No.

9. Is [statute(s) your charged with], under authority of which plaintiff purports to bring statutory accusation against the accused, enacted by the (Proper title for your state legislators) under the authority of the Maritime/Admiralty Jurisdiction, in a venue consistent therewith, as authorized by the Constitution for the State of (your state]? Yes or No.

10. Is [statute(s) your charged with], under authority of which plaintiff purports to bring statutory accusation against the accused, enacted by the (Proper title for your state legislators) under the authority of the Martial-Law Jurisdiction, in a venue consistent therewith, as authorized by the Constitution for the State of (your state]? Yes or No.

11. Does plaintiff invoke a Common-Law Jurisdiction in the instant statutory cause in the above captioned tribunal? Yes or No.

12. Did plaintiff issue and serve process in a Common-Law venue to compel the appearance of the accused in the above captioned tribunal and cause consistent therewith? Yes or No.

13. Does plaintiff invoke a corporate Jurisdiction in the instant statutory cause in the above captioned tribunal? Yes or No.

14. Did plaintiff issue and serve process in a corporate venue to compel the appearance of the accused in the above captioned tribunal and cause *consistent therewith*? Yes or No.

15. Does plaintiff invoke a Maritime/Admiralty Jurisdiction in the instant statutory cause in the above captioned tribunal? Yes or No.

16. Did plaintiff issue and serve process in a Maritime/Admiralty venue to compel the appearance of the accused in the above captioned tribunal and cause consistent therewith? Yes or No.

17. Does plaintiff invoke a Martial-Law Jurisdiction in the *instant statutory* cause in the above captioned tribunal? Yes or No.

18. Did plaintiff issue and serve process in a Martial-Law venue to compel the appearance of the accused in the above captioned tribunal and cause consistent therewith? Yes or No.

19. Does plaintiff allege that the 'person,' as defined in and for the statute(s) (put in number(s)], violation of

which said statute(s) is alleged by the accusatory instrument of plaintiff, an Artificial Person? Yes or No.

20. Does plaintiff allege that the 'person,' as defined in and for the statute(s) (put in number(s)], violation of which said statute(s) is alleged by the accusatory instrument of plaintiff, a Natural Person? Yes or No.

21. Does the statute (s) (put in number(s)], violation of which said statute(s) is alleged by the accusatory instrument of plaintiff, conform to the Controlled Substances treaty between the federal government and the United Nations outlawing the hemp crop with the provision mandating that federal authorities control all drugs of abuse at least as strictly as required by the Single Convention([21 U.S.C. § 811\(d\)](#)). YES or NO.

Failure of plaintiff to timely provide the accused the BILL OF PARTICULARS herein demanded by accused, to make more definite and certain the accusatory instrument and accusations of plaintiff in the aforesaid respects for clarification of the venue and Jurisdiction of the statute(s) depended upon by plaintiff and the venue and jurisdiction invoked by plaintiff in the above captioned tribunal, will be considered an attempt by plaintiff to withhold full disclosure of the nature and cause of the accusations brought by plaintiff and will make it impossible for the accused to meaningfully respond to or defend against the accusations and process made and issued or caused to be issued by plaintiff.

Subscribed this day of . in the Year of Our
Lord and Savior Jesus the Christ, 200_

(Your name) -Accused

(Your address)